Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 10/13/2015, 10/14/2015, 10/15/2015	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: Champaign	·
Location of Audit: Champaign	
Exit Meeting Contact: Kevin Glaspy	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Donald Hankins	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION			
Location of Construction:		Construction P	erformed By:
981 Iron horse Place, Monticello, Illinois		Ameren Illinois (gas crew.
Contractor Foreman:	There were no contractors	working at this	site.
Operator Inspector:	Kevin Glaspy, Quality Assurance		
	Person(s) On Jo	ob Site	
Jared Duzan	Dan Zwillin	g	

Description of Construction:

An Ameren crew was installing a new 1 inch plastic service line at 981 Iron horse Place, in Monticello, Illinois. The gas pipe was 1 inch Driscoplex pipe, connected to a 2 inch plastic gas main. The service line and service tap was air tested to 101 pounds for 14 minutes, with no leaks being found during the air test.

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
General Comment:	•	
Thoro was no stool nino installar	d during this installation of the service line.	
There was no steel pipe installed	u during this installation of the service line.	
	PE	Yes
[192.59] General Comment:		Yes

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

[192.59]	Other	No
General Comment:		
No other pipe was installed during this installation.		
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	60# MAOP
[192.5]	Class location :	Class 3
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All components had the proper markings on the pipe and	d fittings at this job site.	
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	1 inch
[192.55,192.59]	Specification:	ASTM D-2513
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer:	Driscoplex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	PE 2406/2708
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	.099 wall
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The pipe and fittings were all properly marked that was	on the job site.	
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	No welder
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	No welder
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283]	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The pipe and fittings were installed according to the O&I	M procedures.	
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	2-4-15
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Dan Zwilling
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No buried metallic pipe was at this job site.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	No
General Comment:		

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/10-15-2015

Page 2 of 5

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This was an all plastic gas system.		
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as needed?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The anode less riser was clean and coated at the meter	set.	
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No underground metallic structures were in the area of t	this gas installation.	
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The pipe was covered with dirt by hand before back-filling	ng was performed.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A protective sleeve was installed at the service line con	nection, at the tapping tee.	
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Tracer wire was included with the service line to provide	locating means.	
[192.327(a)]	Is required cover being obtained for the service line?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Cover was maintained from the top of the pipe to the top	o of ground level, at a minimum of 24 inches.	
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Satisfactory

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
The service line and tapping tee was air to	ested to 101 pounds for 14 minutes, with no leaks being found.	
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Strength and leak test were being maintain	ined by the crew leader on the job.	
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Operator qualification records were inspec	cted for each employee at the job site.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being directed and observed by a qualified individual?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No non-qualified individual were on the jo	b.	
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No non-qualified individual were on the jo	b.	
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Com	pleted?	No
General Comment:		
A protocol 9 form was no completed durin	ng this audit.	
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Ameren Illinois had a Julie one call notific	ation at the job site.	
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	A002750721
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
An EFV flow valve was installed on the se	ervice line at the tapping tee connection.	
[192.751]	Are adequate steps taken to minimize the potential for accidental ignition?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Ameren Illinois took steps to minimize pot	tential accidental ignition.	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192.

If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.